

Groups of finite Morley rank: current progress, current problems

Eric Jaligot

Lyon, France

Bedlewo, 12 aout 2009

Algebraicity Conjecture

Algebraicity Conjecture

Stability spectrum

Finite Morley rank,

ω -stable,

superstable,

stable (= without the Order Property),

without the Independence Property, ...

Algebraicity Conjecture

Stability spectrum

Finite Morley rank,

ω -stable,

superstable,

stable (= without the Order Property),

without the Independence Property, ...

Algebraicity Conjecture (Cherlin - Zilber) : *An infinite simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic over an algebraically closed field.*

Algebraicity Conjecture

Stability spectrum

Finite Morley rank,

ω -stable,

superstable,

stable (= without the Order Property),

without the Independence Property, ...

Algebraicity Conjecture (Cherlin - Zilber) : *An infinite simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic over an algebraically closed field.*

Classification of strongly minimal sets ?

Cherlin's 79 dichotomy

Cherlin's 79 dichotomy

Connected rank 1 groups : abelian

Cherlin's 79 dichotomy

Connected rank 1 groups : abelian

Connected rank 2 groups : solvable

Cherlin's 79 dichotomy

Connected rank 1 groups : abelian

Connected rank 2 groups : solvable

Simple rank 3 groups:

- $PSL_2(K)$
- $B < G$ is a *Full Frobenius* group, i.e.

Cherlin's 79 dichotomy

Connected rank 1 groups : abelian

Connected rank 2 groups : solvable

Simple rank 3 groups:

- $PSL_2(K)$
- $B < G$ is a *Full Frobenius* group, i.e. $B \cap B^g = 1$ for every g in $G \setminus B$ and $G = B^G$

Plan of the talk

- Infinite combinatorics
- Parallels with the Classification of the Finite Simple Groups
- Genericity, generosity...

Part I

INFINITE COMBINATORICS

The “bad” group problem

$B < G$ is *malnormal* in G if $B \cap B^g = 1$ for every $g \in G \setminus B$.

The “bad” group problem

$B < G$ is *malnormal* in G if $B \cap B^g = 1$ for every $g \in G \setminus B$.

Definition G is a *CSA-group* if every maximal abelian subgroup is malnormal.

Examples: Free groups, torsion-free (Gromov) hyperbolic groups.

The “bad” group problem

$B < G$ is *malnormal* in G if $B \cap B^g = 1$ for every $g \in G \setminus B$.

Definition G is a *CSA-group* if every maximal abelian subgroup is malnormal.

Examples: Free groups, torsion-free (Gromov) hyperbolic groups.

Main Question: Is there a *CSA-group* with maximal abelian subgroups conjugate (and then $G = B^G$) and "strong" stability properties?

Existentially closed CSA -groups

The class of CSA -groups is inductive \rightarrow existentially closed CSA -groups.

Existentially closed CSA -groups

The class of CSA -groups is inductive \rightarrow existentially closed CSA -groups.

Theorem (J - Ould Houcine): *Existentially closed CSA -groups without involutions are simple, and with maximal abelian subgroups divisible and conjugate*

Existentially closed CSA -groups

The class of CSA -groups is inductive \rightarrow existentially closed CSA -groups.

Theorem (J - Ould Houcine): *Existentially closed CSA -groups without involutions are simple, and with maximal abelian subgroups divisible and conjugate*

What about their stability?

Independence Property

Definition (Independence Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Independence Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples $x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$, and $y_1, \dots, y_\sigma, \dots, y_{2^n}$ ($\sigma \in 2^n$) such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_\sigma)$ is true iff $i \in \sigma$.*

Independence Property

Definition (Independence Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Independence Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples $x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$, and $y_1, \dots, y_\sigma, \dots, y_{2^n}$ ($\sigma \in 2^n$) such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_\sigma)$ is true iff $i \in \sigma$.*

Theorem (J - Muranov - Neman): *Let $w(x, y)$ be a group word. Then the probability that the formula $w(x, y) = 1$ has the independence property relative to the class of torsion-free (Gromov) hyperbolic groups tends rapidly to 1 as the length of w tends to the infinity.*

Independence Property

Definition (Independence Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Independence Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples $x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$, and $y_1, \dots, y_\sigma, \dots, y_{2^n}$ ($\sigma \in 2^n$) such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_\sigma)$ is true iff $i \in \sigma$.*

Theorem (J - Muranov - Neman): *Let $w(x, y)$ be a group word. Then the probability that the formula $w(x, y) = 1$ has the independence property relative to the class of torsion-free (Gromov) hyperbolic groups tends rapidly to 1 as the length of w tends to the infinity.*

Corollary: *Existentially closed CSA-groups without involutions have the independence property.*

Independence Property

Definition (Independence Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Independence Property relative to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples $x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$, and $y_1, \dots, y_\sigma, \dots, y_{2^n}$ ($\sigma \in 2^n$) such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_\sigma)$ is true iff $i \in \sigma$.*

Theorem (J - Muranov - Neman): *Let $w(x, y)$ be a group word. Then the probability that the formula $w(x, y) = 1$ has the independence property relative to the class of torsion-free (Gromov) hyperbolic groups tends rapidly to 1 as the length of w tends to the infinity.*

Corollary: *Existentially closed CSA-groups without involutions have the independence property.*

Still, are there constructions for bad groups?

Stability

Stability

Definition (Order Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Order Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples x_1, \dots, x_n , and y_1, \dots, y_n such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_j)$ iff $i \leq j$.*

Stability

Definition (Order Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Order Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples x_1, \dots, x_n , and y_1, \dots, y_n such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_j)$ iff $i \leq j$.*

Stable = Without the Order Property

Stability

Definition (Order Property) *A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Order Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples x_1, \dots, x_n , and y_1, \dots, y_n such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_j)$ iff $i \leq j$.*

Stable = Without the Order Property

F_n : free group over n generators. (Not superstable.)

Stability

Definition (Order Property) A formula $\phi(x, y)$ has the Order Property relatively to a class \mathcal{C} of structures if for any $n \gg 1$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with tuples x_1, \dots, x_n , and y_1, \dots, y_n such that, in M , $\phi(x_i, y_j)$ iff $i \leq j$.

Stable = Without the Order Property

F_n : free group over n generators. (Not superstable.)

Theorem (Sela 2006) F_n has a stable theory.

(holds more generally for torsion-free Gromov hyperbolic groups)

Generalizations problems

Generalizations problems

Conjecture A free product of stable groups is stable.

Generalizations problems

Conjecture A free product of stable groups is stable.

Neman : True for varieties restricted to bounded balls

J - Sela : "Makanin-Razborov diagrams over free products"

QE?!? DuoLimit groups? Formulas for stability indices of definable sets ???

Generalizations problems

Conjecture A free product of stable groups is stable.

Neman : True for varieties restricted to bounded balls

J - Sela : "Makanin-Razborov diagrams over free products"

QE?!? DuoLimit groups? Formulas for stability indices of definable sets ???

Free products with amalgams ? HNN-extensions ?

Generalizations problems

Conjecture A free product of stable groups is stable.

Neman : True for varieties restricted to bounded balls

J - Sela : "Makanin-Razborov diagrams over free products"

QE?!? DuoLimit groups? Formulas for stability indices of definable sets ???

Free products with amalgams ? HNN-extensions ?

Adaptation of the machinery directly over certain monster groups
(Burnside, Tarski, Olshanskii, Gromov, Delzant...) ?

Generalizations problems

Conjecture A free product of stable groups is stable.

Neman : True for varieties restricted to bounded balls

J - Sela : "Makanin-Razborov diagrams over free products"

QE?!? DuoLimit groups? Formulas for stability indices of definable sets ???

Free products with amalgams ? HNN-extensions ?

Adaptation of the machinery directly over certain monster groups
(Burnside, Tarski, Olshanskii, Gromov, Delzant...) ?

New ω -stable groups ???

Part II

Parallels with CFSG
for simple groups of finite Morley rank

Morley rank

Morley rank

Morley rank = Zariski dimension

$\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$ iff A contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint definable subsets A_i with $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$.

Morley rank

Morley rank = Zariski dimension

$\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$ iff A contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint definable subsets A_i with $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$.

+

Morley rank

Morley rank = Zariski dimension

$\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$ iff A contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint definable subsets A_i with $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$.

+

Definability of the rank

Morley rank

Morley rank = Zariski dimension

$\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$ iff A contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint definable subsets A_i with $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$.

+

Definability of the rank

Elimination of \exists^∞ quantifier

Morley rank

Morley rank = Zariski dimension

$\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$ iff A contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint definable subsets A_i with $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$.

+

Definability of the rank

Elimination of \exists^∞ quantifier

The rank is additive.

Morley rank

Morley rank = Zariski dimension

$\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$ iff A contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint definable subsets A_i with $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$.

+

Definability of the rank

Elimination of \exists^∞ quantifier

The rank is additive. For instance, $\text{rk}(G/H) = \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(H)$

Basic properties

G group of finite Morley rank.

Basic properties

G group of finite Morley rank.

- Existence of a finite Morley degree (maximal number of disjoint generic subsets)

Basic properties

G group of finite Morley rank.

- Existence of a finite Morley degree (maximal number of disjoint generic subsets)
- Descending Chain Condition on definable subgroups.

Basic properties

G group of finite Morley rank.

- Existence of a finite Morley degree (maximal number of disjoint generic subsets)
- Descending Chain Condition on definable subgroups.
- Connected component G° : smallest definable subgroup of finite index.

Connected groups

$$G = G^\circ$$

Connected groups

$$G = G^\circ$$

- Unique generic type.
- No partition in two definable generic subsets.

Connected groups

$$G = G^\circ$$

- Unique generic type.
- No partition in two definable generic subsets.

Elementary Fact : *A connected group acting definably on a finite set fixes it pointwise.*

Simple groups

Simple groups

Zilber (1975) : *Infinite simple groups of finite Morley rank are \aleph_1 -categorical.*

Simple groups

Zilber (1975) : *Infinite simple groups of finite Morley rank are \aleph_1 -categorical.*

Macintyre (1971) : *Infinite fields of finite Morley rank are algebraically closed.*

Simple groups

Zilber (1975) : *Infinite simple groups of finite Morley rank are \aleph_1 -categorical.*

Macintyre (1971) : *Infinite fields of finite Morley rank are algebraically closed.*

Algebraicity Conjecture (Cherlin - Zilber) : *An infinite simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic over an algebraically closed field.*

Simple groups

Zilber (1975) : *Infinite simple groups of finite Morley rank are \aleph_1 -categorical.*

Macintyre (1971) : *Infinite fields of finite Morley rank are algebraically closed.*

Algebraicity Conjecture (Cherlin - Zilber) : *An infinite simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic over an algebraically closed field.*

Anti-Algebraicity Conjecture : *There are bad groups of finite Morley rank.*

Borovik's program

Borovik's program

CFSG provides the following list:

- Cyclic of prime order
- Alternating type
- Lie type
- 26 sporadics

Borovik's program

CFSG provides the following list:

- Cyclic of prime order
- Alternating type
- Lie type
- 26 sporadics

Borovik's Program: Use the architecture of CFSG for infinite simple groups of finite Morley rank.

Generations of proof for CFSG

Generations of proof for CFSG

1st generation : non-inductive.

● \approx 15000 pages, announced in 1981 (reannounced in 2004).

Generations of proof for CFSG

1st generation : non-inductive.

● \approx 15000 pages, announced in 1981 (reannounced in 2004).

2nd generation : highly inductive (Gorenstein, Lyons, Solomon).

● Less than 20 books.

Generations of proof for CFSG

1st generation : non-inductive.

- \approx 15000 pages, announced in 1981 (reannounced in 2004).

2nd generation : highly inductive (Gorenstein, Lyons, Solomon).

- Less than 20 books.

3rd generation (Meierfrankenfeld, Stellmacher, Stroth).

- Amalgam method.

Generations of proof for CFSG

1st generation : non-inductive.

● \approx 15000 pages, announced in 1981 (reannounced in 2004).

2nd generation : highly inductive (Gorenstein, Lyons, Solomon).

● Less than 20 books.

3rd generation (Meierfrankenfeld, Stellmacher, Stroth).

● Amalgam method.

4th generation ? ...

Main steps for CFSG

Main steps for CFSG

- Feit-Thompson Theorem on finite groups of odd order (\approx 200 pages)

Main steps for CFSG

- Feit-Thompson Theorem on finite groups of odd order (≈ 200 pages)
- i and j involutions $\longrightarrow (ij)^i = (ij)^{-1}$

Main steps for CFSG

- Feit-Thompson Theorem on finite groups of odd order (≈ 200 pages)
- i and j involutions $\longrightarrow (ij)^i = (ij)^{-1}$
- The classification itself:
 - Char 2 type versus Char $\neq 2$ type
 - Small groups versus large groups

Sylow 2-subgroups

Theorem (Borovik - Poizat , 1990) : *Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate and if S is one of them, then S° is nilpotent and a central product with finite intersection*

$$S^\circ = T * U$$

of a 2-torus T and a 2-unipotent subgroup U .

Sylow 2-subgroups

Theorem (Borovik - Poizat , 1990) : *Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate and if S is one of them, then S° is nilpotent and a central product with finite intersection*

$$S^\circ = T * U$$

of a 2-torus T and a 2-unipotent subgroup U .

- *2-torus* : divisible abelian 2-group.
- *2-unipotent* : definable connected 2-group of bounded exponent.

Types and characteristic

Types :

	$U \neq 1$	$U = 1$
$T \neq 1$	Mixed	Odd
$T = 1$	Even	Degenerate

Types and characteristic

Types :

	$U \neq 1$	$U = 1$
$T \neq 1$	Mixed	Odd
$T = 1$	Even	Degenerate

Conjectures for G simple :

Types and characteristic

Types :

	$U \neq 1$	$U = 1$
$T \neq 1$	Mixed	Odd
$T = 1$	Even	Degenerate

Conjectures for G simple :

- Even type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic 2.

Types and characteristic

Types :

	$U \neq 1$	$U = 1$
$T \neq 1$	Mixed	Odd
$T = 1$	Even	Degenerate

Conjectures for G simple :

- Even type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic 2.
- Odd type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic $\neq 2$.

Types and characteristic

Types :

	$U \neq 1$	$U = 1$
$T \neq 1$	Mixed	Odd
$T = 1$	Even	Degenerate

Conjectures for G simple :

- Even type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic 2.
- Odd type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic $\neq 2$.
- No mixed type.

Types and characteristic

Types :

	$U \neq 1$	$U = 1$
$T \neq 1$	Mixed	Odd
$T = 1$	Even	Degenerate

Conjectures for G simple :

- Even type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic 2.
- Odd type $\longrightarrow G$ algebraic in characteristic $\neq 2$.
- No mixed type.
- Degenerate type ? (bad group for example)

Even type

Theorem (Altinel - Borovik - Cherlin + ...) : *A simple group of finite Morley rank of even type is algebraic over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2.*

Even type

Theorem (Altinel - Borovik - Cherlin + ...) : *A simple group of finite Morley rank of even type is algebraic over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2.*

Proof :

Even type

Theorem (Altinel - Borovik - Cherlin + ...) : *A simple group of finite Morley rank of even type is algebraic over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2.*

Proof : Book



Even type: general plan

Even type: general plan

- **Thin case:** {minimal parabolic subgroups} = \emptyset .

Even type: general plan

- **Thin case:** {minimal parabolic subgroups} = \emptyset .

$$PSL_2(K)$$

*Strong embedding, weak embedding, abelian Sylow^o 2-subgroups,
Baumann's pushing up theorem, $C(G, T)$ theorem, 2-components*

Even type: general plan

- **Thin case:** {minimal parabolic subgroups} = \emptyset .

$$PSL_2(K)$$

*Strong embedding, weak embedding, abelian Sylow^o 2-subgroups,
Baumann's pushing up theorem, $C(G, T)$ theorem, 2-components*

- **Quasithin case:** generation by two minimal parabolic subgroups.

Even type: general plan

- **Thin case:** {minimal parabolic subgroups} = \emptyset .

$$PSL_2(K)$$

Strong embedding, weak embedding, abelian Sylow^o 2-subgroups, Baumann's pushing up theorem, $C(G, T)$ theorem, 2-components

- **Quasithin case:** generation by two minimal parabolic subgroups.

$$PSL_3(K), G_2(K), PS_{p_4}(K)$$

Amalgam method

Even type: general plan

- **Thin case:** {minimal parabolic subgroups} = \emptyset .

$$PSL_2(K)$$

Strong embedding, weak embedding, abelian Sylow^o 2-subgroups, Baumann's pushing up theorem, $C(G, T)$ theorem, 2-components

- **Quasithin case:** generation by two minimal parabolic subgroups.

$$PSL_3(K), G_2(K), PS_{p_4}(K)$$

Amalgam method

- **Generic case:** other.

Even type: general plan

- **Thin case:** {minimal parabolic subgroups} = \emptyset .

$$PSL_2(K)$$

Strong embedding, weak embedding, abelian Sylow^o 2-subgroups, Baumann's pushing up theorem, $C(G, T)$ theorem, 2-components

- **Quasithin case:** generation by two minimal parabolic subgroups.

$$PSL_3(K), G_2(K), PS_{p_4}(K)$$

Amalgam method

- **Generic case:** other.

others

Reductivity and semisimplicity of elements of odd prime order, find root SL_2 subgroups, build an "optimistic" torus and Weyl group W , complex reflection group by ultraproduct, crystallographic Coxeter group by Shepard-Todd, recognition via Curtis-Tits-Phan

Mixed type

Theorem : *A simple group of finite Morley rank cannot be of mixed type.*

Proof

- Proceeds as if G was a direct product of a group of even type and of a group of odd type.
- Uses the classification in even type.



Odd type

$$S^\circ = \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}$$

Odd type

$$S^\circ = \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}$$

Number of copies of \mathbb{Z}_{2^∞} : *Prüfer 2-rank*.

Odd type

$$S^\circ = \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}$$

Number of copies of \mathbb{Z}_{2^∞} : *Prüfer 2-rank*.

Theorem : *A minimal counterexample to the Algebricity Conjecture of odd type has Prüfer 2-rank at most 2.*

Bad fields

Bad fields

Baudisch - Hils - Martin-Pizzaro - Wagner (2006) *There exists a field $\langle K, T \rangle$ of finite Morley rank, with $T < K^\times$, in characteristic 0.*

Bad fields

Baudisch - Hils - Martin-Pizzaro - Wagner (2006) *There exists a field $\langle K, T \rangle$ of finite Morley rank, with $T < K^\times$, in characteristic 0.*

Enourmous complications! (Unipotence theory has to be developed from scratch \rightarrow Burdges Thesis)

Minimal configurations

Minimal configurations

PSL_2

Minimal connected simple group :

Minimal configurations

PSL_2

Minimal connected simple group : *simple and all proper definable connected subgroups are solvable.*

Minimal configurations

PSL_2

Minimal connected simple group : *simple and all proper definable connected subgroups are solvable.*

locally^o solvable :

Minimal configurations

PSL_2

Minimal connected simple group : *simple and all proper definable connected subgroups are solvable.*

locally[◦] solvable : *normalizers[◦] of nontrivial solvable subgroups remain solvable.*

$SL_2(K)$

Minimal configurations

PSL_2

Minimal connected simple group : *simple and all proper definable connected subgroups are solvable.*

locally[◦] solvable : *normalizers[◦] of nontrivial solvable subgroups remain solvable.*

$SL_2(K)$

locally[◦] solvable[◦] : *normalizers[◦] of infinite solvable subgroups remain solvable.*

Minimal configurations

PSL_2

Minimal connected simple group : *simple and all proper definable connected subgroups are solvable.*

locally[◦] solvable : *normalizers[◦] of nontrivial solvable subgroups remain solvable.*

$SL_2(K)$

locally[◦] solvable[◦] : *normalizers[◦] of infinite solvable subgroups remain solvable.*

Call the finite center of $SL_2(K)$ an **exceptional finite set**.

“Classification” of locally solvable groups

“Classification” of locally solvable groups

<http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~jaligot/>

“Classification” of locally solvable groups

<http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~jaligot/>

Local Analysis:

“Classification” of locally solvable groups

<http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~jaligot/>

Local Analysis:

Uniqueness Theorem (J 2000 – 2007)

“Classification” of locally solvable groups

<http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~jaligot/>

Local Analysis:

Uniqueness Theorem (J 2000 – 2007)

Rutgers’ Style

“Classification” of locally[◦] solvable[◦] groups

<http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~jaligot/>

Local Analysis:

Uniqueness Theorem (J 2000 – 2007)

Rutgers’ Style

Theorem (Deloro - J) A connected locally[◦] solvable[◦] group with involutions is either solvable, $PSL_2(K)$ with $Char(K) = 2$, or non-solvable of odd type.

“Classification” of locally[◦] solvable[◦] groups

<http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~jaligot/>

Local Analysis:

Uniqueness Theorem (J 2000 – 2007)

Rutgers’ Style

Theorem (Deloro - J) A connected locally[◦] solvable[◦] group with involutions is either solvable, $PSL_2(K)$ with $Char(K) = 2$, or non-solvable of odd type.

Non-solvable odd type case J 2000 - Cherlin-J 2004 - Deloro’s Thesis 2007. → THREE PROBLEMATIC CONFIGURATIONS.

Part III

Generix' Adventures in Groupland

Carter subgroups

Carter subgroups

Definition : *A Carter subgroup is a definable connected nilpotent subgroup of finite index in its normalizer.*

Carter subgroups

Definition : *A Carter subgroup is a definable connected nilpotent subgroup of finite index in its normalizer.*

Theorem (Frécon - J 2004) : *It always exists!*

Generous Carter subgroups

Definition :

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generic in G if $\text{rk}(X) = \text{rk}(G)$.

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generous in G if X^G is generic in G .

Generous Carter subgroups

Definition :

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generic in G if $\text{rk}(X) = \text{rk}(G)$.

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generous in G if X^G is generic in G .

Modern geometric rank computation: X is generous iff a generic element of G belongs to finitely many conjugates of X (X normalizing coset of a definable subgroup).

Generous Carter subgroups

Definition :

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generic in G if $\text{rk}(X) = \text{rk}(G)$.

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generous in G if X^G is generic in G .

Modern geometric rank computation: X is generous iff a generic element of G belongs to finitely many conjugates of X (X normalizing coset of a definable subgroup).

Generix never gives up - 2005 : In any group of finite Morley rank, generous Carter subgroups are conjugate and generically disjoint.

Generous Carter subgroups

Definition :

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generic in G if $\text{rk}(X) = \text{rk}(G)$.

$X \subseteq_{def} G$ is generous in G if X^G is generic in G .

Modern geometric rank computation: X is generous iff a generic element of G belongs to finitely many conjugates of X (X normalizing coset of a definable subgroup).

Generix never gives up - 2005 : In any group of finite Morley rank, generous Carter subgroups are conjugate and generically disjoint.

Generix and the Cosets - 2009 : The Weyl group $N(Q)/Q$, where Q is a generous Carter subgroup, acts faithfully on Q (almost always).

Genericity Conjecture

Main question/conjecture : *Any group of finite Morley rank contains a generous Carter subgroup.*

Genericity Conjecture

Main question/conjecture : *Any group of finite Morley rank contains a generous Carter subgroup.*

Includes algebraic groups and bad groups.

More reasonable than Cherlin's conjecture, but still very HARD!

Genericity Conjecture

Main question/conjecture : *Any group of finite Morley rank contains a generous Carter subgroup.*

Includes algebraic groups and bad groups.

More reasonable than Cherlin's conjecture, but still very HARD!

Even more food for the next decades:

Can a group have a generous Carter subgroup and a non-generous Borel subgroup?

Is there a kind of bad group with a nontrivial Weyl group ?