

Scientific report from short time work visit, SIZEMIC, Ref.No 3727

Anna Eklof¹

¹Department of Ecology & Evolution, University of Chicago

email: aeklof@uchicago.edu

December 3, 2010

1 **Purpose of the visit**

2 The purpose of the visit was to finalize several papers for projects started in
3 the Sizemic working group "Body size and redundancy - an across ecosystem
4 comparison".

5 **Project description**

6 **Project 1:"How does the size structure of food webs**
7 **affect their robustness against secondary extinctions?"**

8 The aim of this project is to evaluate the role of the size structure for food
9 web robustness against secondary extinctions: Which kind of food webs, in the
10 context of network structure, dynamical properties and body size structures,
11 are most resistant/vulnerable to secondary extinctions?

12 The size structure of food webs was measured according to the following
13 relationships; a) body mass trophic level; b) abundance body mass; c) vulner-
14 ability/generality body mass d) predator-prey body-mass ratios; e) body-size
15 distributions;

16 We generated model food webs by the niche model. The variance in
17 size structure was generated by varying the mass/trophic level dependencies
18 (size structure No. a)) around empirically measured values. To get rid of
19 transient dynamics we simulated the food webs over a certain time and saved
20 the food-web configurations. These stable webs were than analyzed for all
21 size-structure parameters. Employing these stable food-webs, we performed
22 species deletions by removing one species and simulate food web dynamics
23 over time. This was replicated for each species in the food web.

24 **Preliminary title**

25 "The role of body size dependent characteristics for food web robustness"

26 **Authors**

27 Jens O. Riede¹, Ulrich Brose¹, Amrei Binzer¹, Francisco de Castro²,Alva
28 Kurtsdotter³, Bjoern Rall¹, Anna Eklof⁴

29
30 ¹Blumenbach Institut of Zoology and Anthropology University of Goettingen, Ger-
31 many

32 ²University of Potsdam, Germany

33 ³University of Linkoping, Sweden

34 ⁴Department of Ecology & Evolution, University of Chicago, USA

36 **Project 2: "What is the effect of sequential deletions in** 37 **dynamic food webs?"**

38 The aim of this project is to measure how food web robustness is affected by
39 different sequential deletions. We also evaluated how the size structure of the food
40 webs modify effects of sequential deletions.

41 Sequential deletions were performed according to the following orderings; a)
42 random; b) body size up; c) body size down; d) linkedness up; e) linkedness down;
43 f) generality up; g) generality down; h) vulnerability up; i) vulnerability down; j)
44 basal species deletions; k) (trophic uniqueness)

45 Here we used the same set of niche food webs produced for Project 1 and
46 performed sequential deletions on these food webs. Sequential deletions means

47 that species are ordered according to the traits defined above and species deletions
48 are done in that sequence until a certain percent of the original food web remains
49 (25-50 percent). The deletion sequence was updated during the simulation and
50 the deletions were made in a deterministic rather than a probabilistic manner.

51 **Preliminary title**

52 "Extinctions based on species specific traits determine food web vulnerability"

53 **Authors**

54 Alva Kurtsdotter¹, Amrei Binzer⁴, Francisco de Castro², Anna Eklof³, Bjoern
55 Rall⁴, Jens O. Riede⁴, Ulrich Brose⁴

56

57 ¹University of Linkoping, Sweden

58 ²University of Potsdam, Germany

59 ³Department of Ecology & Evolution, University of Chicago, USA

60 ⁴Blumenbach Institut of Zoology and Anthropology University of Goettingen, Ger-
61 many

62

63 **The work carried out during the visit**

64 All the simulations were done prior to the work visit. During the visit we performed
65 statistics on the data (exploratory and descriptive) and analysed the results. The
66 overall statistics addressed how the number of secondary extinctions depends on
67 the initial size-structure (and other) parameters at the time of the primary extinc-
68 tion. We had discussions about the results and started to write up the papers. In
69 the end of the visit we had one draft of each paper. We now work via email contact
70 to finalize the manuscripts and the timeframe is set to have the manuscripts ready
71 for publication in the end of December 2010.

72 **Main results obtained**

73 I will here shortly describe the main results from the project 1 since that is the
74 project I am responsible for. We performed a stepwise multiple regression includ-
75 ing 30 selectet variables in the start model. In the final model we ended up with
76 eleven parameters having a significant effect on the average number of secondary

77 extinctions and thereby food web robustness. We classified the parameters as hav-
78 ing weak or strong effect on food web robustness against species removals. The
79 hill exponent (a parameter in the functional response) had the overall strongest
80 effect indicating first the importance of the functional response used and second
81 the importance of including population dynamics in these kinds of analyses. Also
82 the bodymass abundance relationship had a strong effect on food web robust-
83 ness. However, also of interest is that several parameters one would assume to be
84 important, like food web connectance, was not.

85 In project 2 we found significant difference between the different sequential
86 deletions.

87 **Future collaboration with host institution**

88 Finalizing the manuscripts from Project 1 and 2. We also started a third project
89 comparing outcomes of sequential deletions (the same as in project 2) in topolog-
90 ical versus dynamical models. Additionally we have plans of a review paper on
91 secondary extinctions in food webs.

92 **Projected publications**

93 The goal is to have three papers from the work done during this work visit (and
94 earlier) and publish them in high ranked journals. At this point we have drafts on
95 two of the manuscripts written and in progress.