

EUROPEAN SCIENCE FOUNDATION

EUROCORES

Self-Organised Nano-Structures

EVALUATION FORM

Proposal Number & Acronym:

Referee code:

SUPPORT YOUR MARKS WITH WRITTEN COMMENT

THIS FORM MAY BE COPIED AND PASSED BACK UNATTRIBUTED AND ANONYMOUSLY TO THE APPLICANT(S)

BEFORE PROCEEDING READ THE SECTION ON DECLARATION OF INTEREST AT THE END OF THIS FORM

1. Scientific quality of the proposal (please include in you assessment whether the proposal is original and timely)

Please mark as appropriate:

1: poor

2: average

3: good

4: very good
(Internationally competitive)

5: excellent
(Internationally leading)

2. Relevance of the proposal to the SONS programme description
the SONS description is contained in the Call for Proposals available at:
<http://www.esf.org/SONS>

Please mark as appropriate:

<input type="radio"/> 1: poor	<input type="radio"/> 2: average	<input type="radio"/> 3: good	<input type="radio"/> 4: very good	<input type="radio"/> 5: excellent
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------

3. Standing and suitability of the Principal Investigators and of their groups for this project (Comment in Box 4 on the collaboration between the Groups, and at Box 7 on their individual contributions) (include in your assessment whether the teams have the correct critical mass and supporting infrastructure for this project) (see in particular sections A10 and Z2 of the proposal)

Please mark as appropriate:

<input type="radio"/> 1: poor	<input type="radio"/> 2: average	<input type="radio"/> 3: good	<input type="radio"/> 4: very good	<input type="radio"/> 5: excellent
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------

4. The Level of Collaborative Interaction between the Groups in this Project (complementarity, balance of expertise, etc) and the Added Value this Brings (see in particular section A10 of the proposal)

Please mark as appropriate:

<input type="radio"/> 1: poor	<input type="radio"/> 2: average	<input type="radio"/> 3: good	<input type="radio"/> 4: very good	<input type="radio"/> 5: excellent
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------

5. Methodology, feasibility and workplan of the proposal (see in particular sections A9b-d of the proposal)

Please mark as appropriate:

<input type="radio"/> 1: poor	<input type="radio"/> 2: average	<input type="radio"/> 3: good	<input type="radio"/> 4: very good	<input type="radio"/> 5: excellent
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------

6. Comment on the requested budget of the total project (highlight specific headings as well as the total, especially where costs are not adequately justified) (see in particular section A8 and A9e of the proposal) (Comment in Box 8 on the budget requests by individual groups)

Please mark as appropriate:

certainly underestimated

probably underestimated

fully adequate

probably overestimated

certainly overestimated

7. Comment on the individual budgets of the Research Groups in the project, paying attention to their scientific input. (see in particular the sections B4 and B5 of the proposal)

8. Overall evaluation: Please explain your evaluation

Please mark as appropriate:

1: poor

2: average

3: good

4: very good
(Internationally
competitive)

5: excellent
(Internationally
leading)

ADDITIONAL COMMENT (add any comments you have not been able to include in the boxes above, especially for feedback to the applicants)

THIS FORM MAY BE COPIED AND PASSED BACK UNATTRIBUTED AND ANONYMOUSLY
TO THE APPLICANT(S)

**THE DATA ON THIS PAGE WILL BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL
IT WILL NOT BE PASSED TO APPLICANTS**

Referee's personal data

Title: First name: Surname:

Address:

Contact phone no.:

E-mail:

Expertise Key words (up to 5):

OPTIONAL: To assist ESF in monitoring its refereeing processes, please answer the following two questions:

Age: years

Gender: M / F

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

If you believe you stand to **directly benefit** financially, professionally or personally from the success or failure of this proposal **you should not referee this proposal**. You should **also not referee this proposal** if you are presently a member of a standing body of the ESF or **have co-authored with the applicant within the last 3 years**. In any of these circumstances, you should contact the programme secretariat as soon as possible

Otherwise, if you have current or have had past collaborations not connected to this proposal, or any other potential **indirect interest, you should declare this and referee the proposal**. Your declaration will be used solely by the programme Review Panel and will not be disclosed elsewhere.

Normal scientific interaction eg at conferences, workshops, professional activities etc **need not be declared**.

It will be assumed that you have no interest in the proposal if you chose not to make a declaration.

Failure to declare an interest may result in you being removed from the list of referees for this programme, in notification to your nominating national organisation and in disqualification from submitting proposals to the programme.